

Discipline Disparities:

A Research-to-Practice Collaborative

Supported by:



You Can't Fix What You Don't Look At: Acknowledging Race in Addressing Racial Discipline Disparities

Prudence Carter, Russell Skiba, Mariella Arredondo, & Mica Pollock

Discipline Disparities Series: Acknowledging Race

December 2014

In the Series:

*Discipline Disparities
Series: Overview*

*Interventions for Reducing
Disparities*

*Policy Recommendations
for Reducing Disparities*

*New and Developing
Research*

Acknowledging Race

The Discipline Disparities Research to Practice Collaborative

Disparities in the use of school discipline by race, gender, and sexual orientation have been well-documented and continue to place large numbers of students at risk for short- and long-term negative outcomes. In order to improve the state of our knowledge and encourage effective interventions, the Discipline Disparities Research to Practice Collaborative, a group of 26 nationally known researchers, educators, advocates, and policy analysts, came together to address the problem of disciplinary disparities. Funded by Atlantic Philanthropies and Open Society Foundations, the Collaborative has spent nearly three years conducting a series of meetings with groups of stakeholders—advocates, educators, juvenile justice representatives, intervention agents, researchers, and policymakers—in order to increase the availability of interventions that are both practical and evidence-based, and to develop and support a policy agenda for reform to improve equity in school discipline. The project has funded 11 new research projects to expand the knowledge base, particularly in the area of intervention, and commissioned papers from noted researchers presented at the Closing the School Discipline Gap Conference. A culminating report of the Collaborative's work is the formal release of the Discipline Disparities Briefing Paper Series, three papers on policy, practice, and new research summarizing the state of our knowledge and offering practical, evidence-based recommendations for reducing disparities in discipline in our nation's schools, and a fourth on the importance of acknowledging race in addressing racial disparities.

"There are very few African American men in this country who haven't had the experience of being followed when they were shopping in a department store. That includes me. There are very few African American men who haven't had the experience of walking across the street and hearing the locks click on the doors of cars. That happens to me—at least before I was a senator. There are very few African Americans who haven't had the experience of getting on an elevator and a woman clutching her purse nervously and holding her breath until she had a chance to get off. That happens often."

- President Barack Obama, July 19, 2013

Recent national tragedies—the deaths of Trayvon Martin, Eric Garner, Michael Brown, and Tamir Rice—keep issues of race at the forefront of our national consciousness. As much as we try to look away from the issues created by the idea and social/material realities of race in America, events remind us time and time again that our society's racial dividing lines, especially those involving Black males, can have serious, even deadly consequences. Those divisions and their consequences extend beyond the streets into most of

our institutions—including schools, where many current disciplinary, suspension, and expulsion practices exacerbate inequality and perpetuate a widening chasm among different racial and ethnic groups.

Racial and ethnic differences in everyday experience in schools remain ubiquitous in American education. Students of different races and ethnicities in U.S. schools experience fundamentally different school compositions,¹ different educational opportunities and resources,² different rates of referral to both special education³ and gifted education,⁴ and different dropout and graduation rates.⁵ As the research cited in the Discipline Disparities Series indicates,⁶ ongoing severe and consistent racial disparities in school suspensions and expulsion lead to a variety of other negative outcomes: the more students are removed from school through suspension and expulsion, the more they vanish from graduation stages and fill the pipeline to prison.

Like other indicators of inequality in education, racial disproportionality in discipline, suspensions, and expulsions did not simply emerge full blown in recent years. Racial discipline disparities are a consequence of U.S. history, of the biases and stereotypes created

by that history, and of the still-strong divisions in lived experience between groups that we call “races.” It is impossible to tell the full story of racial discipline disparities without considering the full range of racialized historical and current factors that shape school life in the United States. The ravages of slavery and Jim Crow, forced migration, and policies that enforced unequal treatment placed African Americans and most people of color at an economic and social disadvantage that persists to this day. Some of our most disadvantaged schools, more often than not populated by black and brown skinned youth, keenly show the effects of poverty within their walls, engendering frustrations and exacerbating potential conflicts among students and between teachers and students. Regrettably, our history also left us with pervasive and false ideas about “races” that have shaped our perceptions of who is valued and who is not, who is capable and who is not, and who is “safe” and who is “dangerous.”

Racial disparities are not easy for Americans to confront, in large part because of a long-standing reluctance to talk about issues of race and ethnicity frankly and openly. Thus, this final paper in the Discipline Disparities series directly addresses our difficulties in addressing race when we confront racial disparities. This brief focuses on how our nation’s history has left us with ideas about “race” that still prompt exclusionary and disparate disciplinary practices and segregated, bounded experiences that make it difficult to confront racial issues, even as those issues continue to play out in our everyday interactions. The paper concludes with recommendations for a race-conscious approach to intervention, as a way of beginning to frankly discuss and directly address racial disparities, including discipline disparities. If we are to undo the racial inequities that continue to plague us, we must find constructive ways to talk about them and intervene constructively and consciously to end them.

Part I: Why is It So Difficult to Face Issues of Race?

An Old Issue: What History Left Us With⁷

“Race” is a consequence of slavery and conquest. The racial groups we currently recognize are not based on substantive biological or genetic differences⁸ but rather are social constructs that were created and reinforced across hundreds of years.⁹ In the United States, the origins of inequality began with slavery and gave us many of the racial stereotypes that retain much of their power today

in schools and society.¹⁰ Over the course of subsequent centuries, Asian Americans, African Americans, Latinos, and Native Americans, were all judged by European settlers and their descendants as inferior to Whites. Popular authors and scientists produced and circulated data purporting to demonstrate the inferiority of non-Europeans to reinforce those stereotypes.¹¹ These corrosive stereotypes fueled unequal treatment, and continue to do so even today. While a number of social groups racialized as the “Other” have faced dehumanizing experiences, perhaps one of the most deeply entrenched—and the most pertinent to today’s discipline disparities, as well as the controversial killings across the nation headlining our media—is the corrosive stereotype of the dangerous Black male. We focus here specifically on the evolution of stereotypes linked to Black males for a number of reasons: first, because of the public salience of recent killings of Black male youth in the last year, and the ensuing outrage over their criminalization and dehumanization (conditions that we argue here are historically rooted). Second, because as other papers in our series have shown, Black males face the most glaring disproportionality in discipline in schools, compared to all other groups.

If we are to undo the racial inequities that continue to plague us, we must find constructive ways to talk about them and intervene constructively and consciously to end them.

Slavery and the notion of the dangerous Black male.

Like many of the racial stereotypes that remain embedded in our consciousness, the notion of the dangerous Black male grew directly out of slavery and its aftermath. Key to the institution of slavery was the need to “discipline” and control those enslaved. Slave codes enacted beginning in the 17th Century made it illegal for slaves to congregate, marry, travel without their masters’ permission, or even learn to read.¹² For Black slaves then, *any attempt to engage in normal human activity made one a criminal.*

The “dangerous Black man” stereotype, which framed Black men as aggressors and “sexual predators,” was seeded and spread as slaveholders reserved the most horrific punishments for Black men. For instance, out of fear of the slave revolts of the early 19th Century, slaveholders spread the notion that runaway slaves were not escaping victims, but dangerous criminals who would rape White women if they had the chance.¹³ Although such incidents were rare or unheard of at that time, a law introduced in 1700 in Pennsylvania by William Penn mandated death or castration should a Black man attempt to rape a White woman;¹⁴ the mere fact of the legislation helped plant perceptions of the African American man as a potential danger. By the early 20th century, the stereotype of the dangerous Black predator had become deeply entrenched in the U.S. American psyche, endorsed by popular culture, politicians, and academics.¹⁵ That fear in turn led to a cruel epidemic perpetrated on Black men: Between 1889 and 1918, more than 2,500 Blacks were lynched in the United States, primarily for minor grievances like disputing with a White man, attempting to register to vote, asking a White woman’s hand in marriage, or peeping in a window.¹⁶ For Black women, the conditions were no better; slave owners often denigrated their bodies through rape, forced procreation or “breeding” with other slaves, and sold their children into slavery. Over time, other stereotypes emerged for Black women, who were frequently depicted as hypersexual, promiscuous, and less virtuous than White women.

Continuing inequality fueled by stereotypes. Long after slavery’s end, a racial worldview¹⁷ stressing the inferiority of Blacks and other people of color supported continuing segregation, unequal opportunity, and the race-based hierarchy of Jim Crow, enforced by law, custom, and the terror of bombings and lynchings. To escape outright oppression, Southern Blacks moved north in search of increased social and economic opportunity, yet still encountered attitudes and policies that reinforced segregation and stereotypes, and limited economic opportunity.¹⁸

For nearly a century after the Civil War, laws and practices enforcing inferior schools for Blacks, Native Americans, Asians, and Mexican Americans and significantly better educational access, housing, and jobs for Whites led to economic and social cumulative advantage for Whites and growing disadvantage for people of color.¹⁹ Today our nation’s academic and discipline gaps can be seen as our nation’s “educational debt”²⁰—the direct results of compounded economic, social, and political inequalities that have plagued the United States for centuries.

The effects of stereotypes today. Stereotypes rooted in our national consciousness for centuries—including the “dangerous Black male” stereotype—continue to play themselves out today. TV and other media play a role in reinforcing such biases in our brains: the social action group Color of Change only recently succeeded in getting Fox to cancel primetime “Cops,” a program filling generations of minds with images of Black people spread-eagled or running from police. Stereotypes developed through these centuries of oppression and discrimination contribute to lowered expectations for many children of color’s academic abilities and potential.²¹ Study findings have revealed that 58.9 percent of Black and White subjects endorsed at least one stereotypical view of difference in inborn ability.²² As recent research on implicit bias shows, those stereotypes are still widespread, perhaps the norm, in U.S. culture.²³ Research studies on implicit, even unconscious associations have found that U.S. study participants even associate Black faces with negative words like “poison” or “cancer.”²⁴ Pertinent to both policing and school discipline, research shows that study participants often implicitly associate Blacks and apes, increasing participants’ endorsement of violence against Black suspects.²⁵

In sum, negative, controlling images of the deviant Black person, developed over hundreds of years of discrimination and oppression, remain pervasive in America today. These stereotypes and beliefs have severe, sometimes deadly, consequences, and even more frequently, create disruptions in the life chances of many Black and Brown youth.

Failure to Communicate: How Segregation and Social Boundaries Perpetuate Stereotypes

In the aftermath of World War II, when the landmark decision *Brown vs. Board of Education*²⁶ rejected the doctrine of separate but equal and demanded affirmative steps to overcome the handicaps created by legalized segregation,²⁷ social scientists theorized that increased contact among those of differing racial, ethnic and cultural backgrounds would make it increasingly difficult to hold on to stereotypes, bias and discrimination.²⁸ They underestimated, however, the rigidity of mindsets and stereotypical beliefs borne from social segregation.

More recent government practices, in conjunction with patterns in housing choice, have exacerbated and reinforced the segregation of our schools.²⁹ By the early 1970’s, our

courts began to limit or roll back many of the principles that had guided post-Brown civil rights reform, refusing to act on anything but explicitly “de jure”³⁰ segregation, releasing many school districts from any desegregation efforts, and eventually, outlawing much race-conscious desegregation effort.³¹ Both African American and Latino students attend schools that are on average composed of over 60% students of color, while White students are the most segregated of all groups, attending on average schools that are 77% White.³² Our segregated schools continue to reproduce the class patterns associated with race throughout our history: African American and Hispanic students are three times (35% & 34.5 %, respectively) as likely as White students (12.5%) to be born into poverty, have less adequate access to health care, and tend to attend schools with inadequate physical facilities, and less highly qualified and trained teachers.³³ The resource drain of segregation exacerbates stress and frustration in segregated settings. Research also shows that the increased presence of “school resource officers” in such stressed, low-resource settings often increases the likelihood that young black people are not just suspended, but ejected into the justice system through school arrest, particularly for subjective offenses such as disorderly conduct.³⁴

The absence of truly integrated society—a society in which we live together and as equals—has left us as a nation unable to learn from one another, to surmount old stereotypes, and to communicate and to act effectively on the eradication of inequalities that run rampant in our schools and society.

Segregationist mindsets spawned separatist government and private sector policies that continue to define many of our communities today. In a recent report, economist Richard Rothstein³⁵ thoroughly outlines how numerous government-sanctioned practices tolerated and encouraged the perpetuation of racial segregation, from neighborhood zoning rules separating black and white communities to the development of isolated public housing

projects; to federal subsidies for suburban development and neighborhood racial covenants excluding housing access to African Americans; to explicit real estate, insurance, and banking practices. Researchers have found that much of the wealth and economic inequality gaps existent today among African Americans, Latinos, and Whites can be traced back to segregationist, economic and social practices.³⁶

Concurrently, severe resource deficits have plagued schools attended predominantly by low-income students of color. What educator Jonathan Kozol³⁷ documented as “savage inequalities” across the nation range from finance inequities to meager resources such as dilapidated physical plants, inadequate reading and curricular materials for teaching and learning, and a disproportionate percentage of inexperienced teachers and an overrepresentation of school safety officers catalyzing police intervention—all amidst school cultures often defined by low expectations and mistrust.

Since physical separation across schools and districts by race and class remains the norm, the structure of relationships between groups in our society remains largely segregated, lessening the opportunities not only for students from historically disadvantaged backgrounds to have the same access to high-quality schools but also for groups to interact and have their stereotypes of one another challenged. Our experiences in schools are not just segregated physically, but also socially boundaried.³⁸ That is, physical and psychological separation by race creates very real boundaries in lived experience that make us unable to learn from and understand each other. Segregation doesn’t just compound economic inequality, with more-wealthy and more-White students in schools or classes with more opportunity and less-wealthy students of color in underresourced, understaffed schools. Despite the end of legal sanctions upholding strict segregation, there has not yet been a real and functional integration in schools and society. The absence of a truly integrated society³⁹—a society in which we live together and as equals—has left us as a nation unable to learn from one another, to surmount old stereotypes, and to communicate and to act effectively on the eradication of inequalities that run rampant in our schools and society. Indeed, in schooling as in policing, adults and youth interact across race lines more than people in many other careers, while in many cases being underprepared to do so.

Even in the face of rapidly increasing diversity in our nation’s student population, the majority of U.S teachers remain female, White, and middle class,⁴⁰ creating a within-

school boundary in itself. Many students in pre-service education programs enter with little previous contact with racial groups other than their own;⁴¹ unless pervasive negative stereotypes are explicitly engaged and challenged, educators can carry these common stereotypes with them into schools.⁴²

... 60 years after Brown we remain surprisingly segregated as a society, and the boundaries between the experiences of those of different heritages remain.

Nor are White teachers the only bearers of stereotypes. Middle class teachers of color are no less likely to evaluate students subjectively than their White middle class counterparts, while Black and White teachers of working class backgrounds are less likely to evaluate their racial and ethnic minority and poor students negatively.⁴³ These findings point to the complex dynamics of race and class: Controlling images and narratives about different groups of individuals can affect us all across racial lines.

In sum, 60 years after Brown we remain surprisingly segregated as a society, and the boundaries between the experiences of those of different heritages remain. The benefits foreseen by integration—that increased contact would lead to the gradual fading of bias and stereotypes—have occurred far less than expected. Combine still-pervasive stereotypes with vastly separate experiences for students and teachers of different races, and we can see why it is extremely difficult to come together and honestly talk about the racial and ethnic stereotypes and inequalities that still afflict our schools and society—and that shape our school discipline patterns.

Race Still Matters: How Old Patterns Continue Today

As a result of our bounded experiences and the widespread tendency to avoid the charged topic of race whenever possible, there is insufficient opportunity to reach out across those lines of social division and examine the causes of deep-seated inequalities in education in the United States, including disparities in suspension, expulsion, and

school arrest. Our continued separation influences the way in which we interact around race, including the ways in which teachers and administrators interact with students. In this section, we turn to a rapidly growing body of evidence finding that, however much we would rather not talk about it, issues of race and difference continue to be embedded in our schools and society, continuing to reinforce and replicate inequality in society, in education, and in school discipline.

Implicit bias.

As outlined earlier, implicit biases are deep-seated attitudes that operate outside conscious awareness—that may even be in direct conflict with a person's stated beliefs and values.⁴⁴ Today, brains still “hold” old biases and preferences for various groups (positive or negative); such associations are mostly involuntary.⁴⁵

Implicit biases do not necessarily lead to explicitly biased decisions or behaviors in schools, but they can undergird discriminatory behaviors—especially when such biases remain unstated and unexamined. In the school discipline realm, some research suggests that White and Black students may receive differential treatment in terms of opportunities to participate in learning settings, or different teacher reactions to misbehavior.⁴⁶ At the office level, harsher punishment of students of color for the same or similar behavior has been documented in a number of studies.⁴⁷ Finally, recent research has shown that schools with a higher proportion of Black students are more likely to use a range of more punitive consequences, including suspension, expulsion, arrests and zero tolerance;⁴⁸ the increasing presence of police officers on school staff exacerbates potential punitive responses. Since these patterns have been found to occur regardless of school demographics or the severity of student behavior, it becomes increasingly difficult to rule out the possibility of some form of bias as a contributing factor.

Fortunately, emerging research suggests that it is possible to recognize implicit bias in oneself and learn techniques to overcome such perceptions and increase positive social interactions. Police trainings are tackling implicit bias,⁴⁹ and so are interventions in schools. Professor Patricia Devine, for example, developed a “multi-faceted prejudice habit-breaking” intervention that taught participants five different de-biasing strategies. Significant reductions in implicit bias among those trained provide tangible evidence that a controlled intervention can produce persistent reductions in implicit bias.⁵⁰

Microaggressions.

Microaggressions—everyday exchanges, usually brief, that deliver demeaning messages or subtle reminders about racial stereotypes⁵¹—remain one key way that unconscious stereotypes or implicit biases are enacted in daily interactions. Microaggressions are often enacted automatically and unconsciously—delivered in the form of subtle insults, indifferent looks, gestures, and tones. Such actions are often difficult to identify, whether they are verbal, nonverbal, visual, or behavioral.⁵² Outside of schools, “microaggressions” can look like a waiter serving a White patron before someone of color; in schools, microaggressions can be acts that convey underlying (even if unconscious) messages that people of color are less intelligent, more dangerous, or otherwise inferior. Sometimes, everyday “microaggressions” are moments when we ignore, negate, or dismiss others' experiences of harm.⁵³ In the disciplinary realm, “microaggressions” can take shape in sudden overreactions to young people of color as threatening.

“Maybe we shouldn't talk about it.”

Yet despite the need to attend carefully to everyday interactions with students, pre-service and in-service teachers often resist discussing racial topics related to education and to discipline specifically. The difficulty that educators, especially White educators, have in openly talking about race and racism has been well documented.⁵⁴ Further, many scripts shape our talk when we do talk about race—we often explain race issues in predictably reductive ways.⁵⁵ Thus, discussing our race talk habits head on is a crucial part of discussing race disparities in education.

A *colorblind perspective* suggests that maybe we *shouldn't* talk about it—that discussions about race are extraneous, or that those seeking to discuss race in, e.g., school discipline are “playing the race card.”⁵⁶ A commitment to a colorblind philosophy may also be associated with the belief that U.S. society is in a “post-racial” era where any racial disparities are due simply to characteristics or behaviors of the affected groups themselves.⁵⁷ Yet, professor of law Neil Gotanda⁵⁸ has suggested that a color-blind stance is self-contradictory: Asserting that one does not “see color” actually requires considering race in society before rejecting its relevance.

Can We Address Racial Disparities without Addressing Race? The Failure of Race Neutrality

In recent years, national policy on education issues has replaced active, affirmative, race-conscious remedies with race-neutral ones. In case after case, the Supreme Court has rolled back efforts to consider race in school assignment.⁵⁹ The evidence, suggests, however, that race-neutral approaches to diversifying schools, such as income-based school assignment, are not effective in reducing segregation: in fact, such “race neutral” solutions can lead to increased school segregation.⁶⁰

Nor is there evidence that race-neutral approaches have been effective in reducing racial/ethnic disparities in school discipline. For example, the approach of Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports (PBIS) has been found to be successful in general in reducing office disciplinary referrals,⁶¹ decreasing rates of school suspension,⁶² improving school climate,⁶³ and to a certain extent even contributing to improved academic outcomes.⁶⁴ Yet researcher Claudia Vincent and colleagues have demonstrated that without specific attention to issues of race and culture, implementation of PBIS has not always successfully reduced racial/ethnic disparities in office referrals and suspension.⁶⁵ Together, these data suggest that to successfully address racial disparities in discipline, we must acknowledge and work through issues of race.

Part II: What Should We Do? Bringing Race into Conversations about Disparities

Talking about racial discipline patterns in schools isn’t easy, because it involves talking about the full range of race, racism, and racial inequality issues in American life.⁶⁶ We have yet to have the national conversation on race urged by President Obama in his 2013 speech on the topic, precisely because having that conversation requires us to grapple with the harms, consequences, and continuing shape of racism, discrimination, and inequality woven into the very foundation of U.S. history. Such a conversation also requires us to consider how to counteract racial inequality and unequal resource allocations not only through policy, but also through our own everyday practices and interactions.

In schools (as in policing and elsewhere in society), such conversations are especially challenging, as they force educators to reflect on their own views of and interactions with

students. Beverly Tatum⁶⁷ argues that many Whites are reluctant to talk openly about race for fear that their comments will be misinterpreted, generating anger and rejection from people of color. Even considering the possibility of racial dynamics in our disciplinary interactions can be highly threatening: Do data showing racial disparities expose me or my school as “racist”?⁶⁸ While school staff members may resist public decisions about race, they may continue to struggle in private with a variety of race-based questions, tensions, and dilemmas in the wake of racialized interactions with students and colleagues.⁶⁹

Yet *addressing racial disparities requires addressing race*. Imagine a school district with consistently low reading achievement scores; yet within that district, an unwritten code prevented staff from explicitly discussing the topic of reading. Obviously, the failure to address the central problem would guarantee that reading deficits would persist over time. In the same way, when we don’t discuss and then address the racial dynamics of our racially disproportionate discipline, racial disparities in discipline continue to worsen over time.⁷⁰ Pollock⁷¹ has referred to race talk resistance as a complicated “colormuteness.” While some clumsy or incomplete race talk can in fact create harm (see below), our reticence to talk frankly about issues of race prevents us from even considering the steps we need to take to fix racial discipline disparities.

The goal is not just to talk “more” about racial patterns in discipline; rather, the goal is to discuss those patterns more thoroughly and then to ultimately eradicate them.⁷² A conversation about race and discipline means talking about what we think automatically about “types of children,” even if those thoughts are undesired; who we react to with fear or harshness; and who needs more care inside our school buildings.⁷³ It also means asking hard questions about whether opportunities to learn and to be included in learning opportunities are equally or sufficiently distributed in schools. Particularly important to an “anti-racist” approach to discipline is to talk more thoroughly about any given incident of discipline⁷⁴ and to ask reflectively, does this act of discipline provide access to opportunity, or shut off such access?⁷⁵ Another key approach is to react compassionately, calmly, and without escalation to every young person’s interaction with a peer or teacher.

What follows are practical descriptions of approaches and strategies that can be used in schools and classrooms to acknowledge and address issues of racial inequality. The goal is not simply to talk about race, but rather to a) identify the extent of racial/ethnic disparities through examination of the data, b) be

willing to discuss those disparities and their causes thoroughly, c) develop interventions that include a race-conscious analysis of the causes of those disparities, and d) monitor the effectiveness of our interventions through continued examination of disaggregated data.

Identify and Acknowledge the Extent of Disciplinary Disparities through Examination of the Data

Administrators and educators can open conversations on racial inequality by examining actual data at the school, district, state, and federal levels. Relying on school data to examine disparities based on race/ethnicity, as well as sexual orientation, gender identity, and disability status, provides teachers and administrators the opportunity to engage in honest discussions about why some groups of students are faring worse in discipline outcomes. In some cases, analyzing achievement outcomes simultaneously with disciplinary outcomes might be essential, as disciplinary incidents can arise in classrooms where students and teachers are having frustrated interactions over academics. Educators and their supporters can:

- Examine out-of-school suspension, expulsion and school arrest data, as well as classroom disciplinary referral data, to ask what student groups seem to be disciplined disproportionately and to what extent decisions by school personnel play a role⁷⁶
- Monitor and hold schools and districts accountable for racial/ethnic disparities in opportunities and resources for students, in order to remedy any unequal patterns in academic preparation and achievement that can exacerbate negative student-teacher interactions⁷⁷
- Increase understanding and provide deep professional development for teachers and principals around issues of racial disparities, exclusions, and differences.

Engage in Conversations about Race

Once disaggregated data are available, they must be interpreted. Schools will make the most progress if data open a door to reflective and critical conversations about the ways in which school processes, adult actions, and adult interactions with students may contribute to disciplinary outcomes. Sustaining a critical conversation about race patterns means asking questions about the full set of interactions that produce discipline patterns; about how race factors in to how adults react

to students and, how students then react to adults; about which false or harmful notions about “races” we carry around with us as we interact; and even when and how thinking of other human beings in terms of race is helpful.

To set the context for thorough analysis before dialoguing about specific disciplinary incidents, school leaders can encourage reading and dialogue about the issues raised in the first half of this brief, including:

- The history of false notions about “groups,” including the contemporary forms of old ideas and stereotypes.
- The national history of racial disparities in education opportunity, and the variety of factors that contribute to any contemporary lack of opportunities in the school’s local setting.
- The distribution of resources in the school’s academic and/or social environment.

In order to remedy disparities, educators must design specific strategies for improving student-teacher relationships, and preventing and handling conflict.

Then, leaders can support a thorough conversation about specific disciplinary incidents and habits of discipline at the school, including discussions of:

- How interests are served/not served, and whose needs are met/not met, by different disciplinary practices at the school (e.g., an out of school suspension; a “time out”).⁷⁸
- Students’ and educators’ actual experiences with specific incidents of school discipline.
 - Leaders can support teachers in considering the pros and cons of specific disciplinary interactions, for students as well as for teachers;⁷⁹
 - Leaders also can support teachers to reflect on the experiences and pervasive ideas that have shaped their “gut perceptions” of students.
- Finally, leaders can support educators to reflect on ways to create a culturally flexible school where both teachers and

students can interact “across numerous social and cultural boundaries.”⁸⁰

Facilitating Discussions about Race and Discipline with both Colleagues and Students

Educators preparing to create a dialogue with colleagues about issues of race and discipline can find many tips for dialogue in the work of researchers,⁸¹ with the goal of supporting colleagues to hear information, analyze causes, and design solutions. Without proper preparation, facilitators may themselves minimize individual experiences, reinforce stereotypes, or find themselves unable to handle the range of conflicting and sometimes strong opinions and emotions that may arise.

“Clumsy race talk”⁸² can also result in repeated stereotyping of students from particular groups, if speakers a) simply repeat stereotypes about students rather than challenge them, or, b) repeat scripted analyses that students alone are responsible for disciplinary problems, even when every discipline interaction involves an interaction between adults and students. To avoid repeating such “scripts” in talk about discipline, teachers can talk through actual interactions with students that seemed to “snowball”⁸³ into a discipline problem. UCLA educational researcher Tyrone Howard⁸⁴ has shown that having teachers watch videos of their interactions with youth can help them unpack disciplinary incidents more thoroughly: educators can unpack how small interactions grow into dire disciplinary consequences.

To talk openly about race and why racial disparities occur, we must create safe spaces for school personnel. School principals and administrators can support colleagues to openly discuss the full range of dynamics under specific discipline incidents, opportunity provision in the school, and, student-teacher relationships generally.⁸⁵ Educators need space to think through and dialogue about their own reactions to students; in addition, research shows that restorative justice approaches can support students to think through their own interactions to teachers.⁸⁶ In engaging colleagues and even youth in dialogue, school leaders can:

- Model a willingness to ask questions.
- Acknowledge that mistakes will be made when speaking about race.
- Acknowledge that participants will experience discomfort while considering and discussing experiences/perspectives different from one’s own.
- Model commitment on the part of

all participants to being part of the analyses of problems and solutions, given that any discipline issue involves an interaction between students and adults.⁸⁹

- Do not miss “race teachable moments.”⁹⁰ Take the opportunity when students’ comments, questions, and classroom incidents or students’ preoccupations about race and/or racism to sustain critical conversations about inequities. These spontaneous conversations can be complemented by formal activities that allow students to share about their families and identities.

Crafting Race-Conscious Intervention and Evaluation

Addressing the race aspect of racial discipline disparities requires more than thorough dialogue about why disparities occur. In order to remedy disparities, educators must design specific strategies for improving student-teacher relationships, and preventing and handling conflict. To support such work, leaders in the field have recommended a host of overall strategies, including efforts to improve the cultural responsiveness of instruction⁹¹ and classroom management.⁹² Efforts to increase academic rigor and to increase safe, predictable environments for young people have also been shown overall to reduce the conflicts that balloon into discipline cases.⁹³ Case studies have shown that specific attention to cultural responsiveness—that is, connecting respectfully to students’ lives—is beneficial for classroom process and student outcome.⁹⁴

Educators also can seek more specific strategies and interventions to reduce racial discipline disparities. A previous brief in this series, specifically addressing intervention,⁹⁵ acknowledged that while we need to know a great deal more about how to intervene specifically to close the discipline gap, promising interventions are emerging. That brief identified a number of interventions and principles for reducing disparities in discipline:

- **Supportive Relationships**

Programs that improve interactions between teachers and students, such as My Teaching Partner⁹⁶ have been shown to both reduce the incidence of disciplinary removal and close the racial/ethnic discipline gap.

- **Academic Rigor**

High level and engaging instruction, combined with support for meeting high expectations, has been shown to turn around achievement even in highly disrupted school settings.⁹⁷

- **Culturally Relevant and Responsive Instruction**

Teachers can create safe and respectful classroom environments through materials, events, and teaching that reflect the diversity of their classrooms and community.⁹⁸

- **Bias-free classrooms and respectful school environments**

Analyzing disaggregated data can allow school teams to determine if different groups of students receive different penalties for the same infraction.

Teachers can avoid the trap of differential treatments by replacing snap judgments about discipline with time to reflect on the nature of the interaction.

- **Use Problem-Solving Approaches to Discipline**

Restorative practices train staff in structured problem solving to identify contributors to conflict, offering a promising approach for reducing the discipline gap.⁹⁹

- **Recognizing Student and Family Voice**

The experience of community organizations such as Denver's *Padres y Jovenes Unidos*¹⁰⁰ have shown that schools with issues of disproportionate discipline benefit greatly from reaching out to parents and students to understand their concerns.

- **Reintegrating students after conflict**

After long-term absences due to suspension, expulsion, or detention, "transition centers," involving collaboration between probation, mental health, child welfare, and school districts, can assist in the successful transition of excluded youth back into school.

It is unclear whether interventions must be tailored to specific racial/ethnic or cultural populations in order to have an impact on student outcomes.¹⁰¹ However, closing racial discipline gaps will almost certainly require interventions and programs that are in some way *race-conscious*—that is, conscious of overall race dynamics in student-educator relationship and interaction.

At the same time, we cannot assume that any specific intervention or program, however effective it may appear to be in general, will reduce racial and ethnic disparities until we specifically test and measure the effect of that program on such disparities. In order to know whether any intervention or strategy is effective in closing racial gaps, then, evaluating its

effects specifically on *racial/ethnic disparities* is key. As part of any new program, educators, policymakers and researchers seeking to reduce racial inequity will need to answer the question: *What is the evidence that our efforts have specifically reduced race and ethnic disparities in discipline?*

Does a Race-Conscious Approach Make A Difference?

Talking about race is linked to improved outcomes when it is tied to actual school reforms and practices focused on achieving equity in schools. In Tyrone Howard's¹⁰¹ study of four schools successful in closing racial achievement gaps, he identifies five attributes commonly found across the schools that were fundamental in each of the school's ability to produce high achievers in challenging circumstances. One of these attributes was explicitly acknowledging race, racism, and its perceived influence on learning. The other attributes included visionary leadership, effective instructional practice, intensive academic intervention, and parental and community engagement. More research and interventions utilizing race- and culture-conscious approaches are needed to fully explore the potential of such interventions.

CONCLUSIONS

Regardless of our attempts to avoid the topic, the issue of race emerges over and over again, permeating our society and conditioning our lives. For Trayvon Martin, Michael Brown, Eric Garner, Tamir Rice, and many other African American males, the translation of racialized thinking into action yielded deadly consequences. For many other youth in our nation, the consequences of our heritage of presumed racial difference and longstanding segregation play themselves out on a daily basis, through lowered expectations, decreased educational opportunity, and disciplinary overreaction. This is an old problem. Corrosive stereotypes—like the dangerous Black male—rooted themselves deep in our nation's psyche and, whether or not they reach our consciousness, remain entwined in our thinking and our practices today. Throughout much of our history, the structures of slavery, Jim Crow, and other forms of racial exclusion were purposely intended to maintain deep divisions between us, to the advantage of some groups and the detriment of others.

Even as we celebrate anniversaries of *Brown v. Board of Education* and the civil rights movement challenging the legal framework of segregation and division, judicial rulings and federal policy have reversed that early momentum, maintaining and reinforcing

structural inequality and boundaries of race and class. Although based on social understandings rather than biological realities, perceptions of racial difference continue to determine who has opportunity and privilege, and who does not. At the same time, the benefits of integration foreseen by its advocates—that increased contact would lead to increased understanding, empathy, and ultimately equality—have not occurred, simply because there has not yet been real integration in American society. Today in schools, our interactions across racial lines yield differential outcomes in school discipline, with devastating consequences for the young people served.

The goal is not just to talk more about racial patterns in discipline; rather, the goal is to discuss those patterns more thoroughly and then to ultimately eradicate them.

The topic of racial disparities understandably remains emotionally charged. As in a family that can never discuss its fundamental secrets, our deeply held and often unconscious beliefs, stereotypes, and biases are too rarely brought to the surface, examined, and finally expunged. Yet as much as we seek to lock them from view, race and racism continue to color our interactions, including our disciplinary actions, on a daily, even moment-by-moment basis.

The goal, however, is not simply to talk more about race, or racial disparities in school discipline. We acknowledge that the problems of race and racism require not only school-level changes in conversations and practices but also systemic changes throughout many social institutions in our society, from the economy to the political, judicial and justice systems. Conducted clumsily, conversations about race can increase resistance to facing and addressing the problems that plague us. Even when critical and meaningful dialogues create insights, there is no guarantee that those insights will be brought back into schools and classrooms to create practical differences in treatment. To be effective in truly addressing racial disparities, our conversations about race must be a part of a process in which we a) examine disaggregated data to determine where racial/ethnic

differences occur, b) thoroughly discuss the contexts and interactions creating those data, c) craft interventions to erase those disparities, and d) follow through to ensure that we have truly made a difference, by monitoring the disaggregated data to evaluate the impact of our actions. Ultimately, as has been noted in other papers in this series, achieving racial equity in school discipline requires action, leadership and a commitment to counteract old habits and stereotypes. The roots of racial inequality in our schools and our society are many centuries deep. Eliminating disciplinary disparities, or for that matter any inequity in our educational system, will require an ongoing awareness of how those disparities are produced, and a steadfast commitment to finally bringing them to an end.

Endnotes

- Orfield, G. (2009). *Reviving the goal of an integrated society: A 21st century challenge*. Los Angeles: The Civil Rights Project/Proyecto Derechos Civiles at UCLA. Retrieved from http://civilrightsproject.ucla.edu/research/deseg/reviving_the_goal_mlk_2009.pdf
- Carter, P. L., & Welner, K. G. (Eds.). (2013). *Closing the opportunity gap: What America must do to give all children an even chance*. New York: Oxford University Press; Duncan, G. J., & Murnane, R. J. (Eds.). (2011). *Whither opportunity?: Rising inequality, schools, and children's life chances*. New York: Russell Sage Foundation; Ladson-Billings, G. (2006). From the achievement gap to the education debt: Understanding achievement in U.S. schools. *Educational Researcher*, 35(7), 3-12.
- National Research Council. (2002). *Minority students in special and gifted education*. Committee on Minority Representation in Special Education, M. S. Donovan & C. T. Cross, Eds. Washington, DC: National Academy Press.
- Milner, H. R., & Ford, D.Y. (2007). Cultural considerations in the under-representation of culturally diverse elementary students in gifted education. *Roeper Review*, 29(3), 166-173.
- Wald, J., & Losen, D. J. (2007). Out of sight: The journey through the school-to-prison pipeline. In S. Books (Ed.), *Invisible children in the society and its schools* (3rd ed., pp. 23-27). Mahwah, NJ: Lawrence Erlbaum.
- Skiba, R. J., Arredondo, M. I., & Rausch, M. K. (2014). *New and developing research on disparities in discipline*. Bloomington, IN: The Equity Project at Indiana University. Available at <http://rtpcollaborative.indiana.edu/briefing-papers/>
- For further exploration of this history, see Carter, P. L. (2012). *Stubborn roots: Race, culture, and inequality in U.S. and South African schools*. New York: Oxford University Press; Pollock, M. (in press). *Schooltalking: Communicating for equity in schools*. New York: The New Press; Skiba, R. (2012). "As nature has formed them": The history and current status of racial difference research. *Teachers College Record*, 114(5), 1-49. For a comprehensive teachers' guide and curriculum addressing the history addressed in this brief, as well as classroom strategies for discussing racism and racial inequality, see *Teaching "The new Jim Crow,"* (2014). Co-developed by Teaching Tolerance, the New Press, Michelle Alexander, and Tanya Coke. Retrieved from <http://www.tolerance.org/publication/teaching-new-jim-crow>
- American Association of Physical Anthropologists (AAPA). (1996). AAPA statement on biological aspects of race. *American Journal of Physical Anthropology*, 101, 569-570.
- Omi, M., & Winant, H. (1994). *Racial formations in the United States*. New York: Routledge.
- Alexander, M. (2010). *The new Jim Crow: Mass incarceration in the age of colorblindness*. New York, NY: The New Press; Gabbidon, S. L. (1994). Blackophobia: What is it? And who are its victims? In P. R. Kedia (Ed.), *Black on Black crime: Facing facts - challenging fictions* (pp. 232-244). Bristol, IN: Wyndham Hall Press; Miller, K. (1908). *Race adjustment: Essays on the Negro in America*. New York and Washington: Neale Publishing Company; Muhammad, K. G. (2011). *The condemnation of blackness: Race, crime, and the making of modern urban America*. Cambridge, MA: Harvard University Press; Scott, D. M. (1997). *Contempt and pity: Social policy and the image of the damaged Black psyche 1880-1996*. Chapel Hill: The University of North Carolina Press.
- Gould, S. J. (1996). *The mismeasure of man*. New York: W. W. Norton & Co.; Skiba, 2012; Tucker, W. H. (1994). *The science and politics of racial research*. Chicago: University of Illinois Press.
- Finkelman, P. (1999). Thomas R. R. Cobb and the Law of Negro Slavery. *Roger Williams University Law Review*, 5(1), 75. Available at http://docs.rwu.edu/cgi/viewcontent.cgi?article=1170&context=rwu_LR
- Asante, M. K., & Mattson, M. T. (1998). *The African-American atlas: Black history and culture - An illustrated reference*. New York: Macmillan USA.
- The State of Pennsylvania. (1896). An act for the trial of negroes, 1700. In J. T. Mitchell & H. Flanders (Eds.), *The statutes at large of Pennsylvania from 1682 to 1801*. Harrisburg: Clarence M. Busch, State Printer of Pennsylvania.
- Early movies, such *Birth of a Nation* (Griffith, Dixon, & Triangle Film Corporation, 1915) cemented the image in the public mind, and President Theodore Roosevelt proclaimed, in his 1906 State of the Union Address that "The greatest existing cause of lynching is the perpetration, especially by Black men, of the hideous crime of rape—the most abominable in all the category of crimes, even worse than murder..." (Roosevelt, T. [1906]. *Sixth annual message*. The State of the Union Messages, 3, 2194. Available at <http://www.presidency.ucsb.edu/ws/?pid=29547>). The founder and first president of the American Psychological Association, G. Stanley Hall, argued that during slavery, hard work and fear were "potent restraints," but with the end of slavery, "... idleness, drink, and a new sense of equality have destroyed those restraints of imperial lust, which in some cases is reinforced by the thought of generations of abuse of his own women by White men upon whom he would turn the tables" (Hall, G. S. [1905]. The Negro in Africa and America. *The Pedagogical Seminary*, 12[3], 350-368.).
- Black, E. (2003). *War against the weak: Eugenics and America's campaign to create a master race*. New York: Thunder's Mouth Press; Gibson, R. A. (1979). The Negro Holocaust: Lynching and race riots in the United States, 1880-1950. In J. C. Agnew (Ed.), *Themes in twentieth-century American culture*. New Haven, CT: Yale-New Haven Teachers Institute. Available at <http://www.yale.edu/ynhti/curriculum/units/1979/2/79.02.04.x.html>; National Association for the Advancement of Colored People (NAACP). (1969). *Thirty years of lynching in the United States, 1889-1918*. New York: Negro Universities Press. (Original work published 1919.)
- Smedley, A., & Smedley, B. D. (2012). *Race in North America: Origin and evolution of a worldview*. Boulder, CO: Westview Press.
- Grossman, J. R. (1989). *Land of hope: Chicago, Black southerners, and the Great Migration*. Chicago: University of Chicago Press; Lemann, N. (1992). *The promised land: The great Black migration and how it changed America* (1st Vintage Books ed.). New York: Vintage Books; Muhammad, 2011; Wilkerson, I. (2011). *The warmth of other suns: The epic story of America's great migration* (1st Vintage Books Ed.). New York: Vintage Books.
- Blank, R. M. (2005). Tracing the economic impact of cumulative discrimination. *American Economic Review*, 95(2), 99-103; Katznelson, I. (2006). *When Affirmative Action was White: An untold history of racial inequality in twentieth-century America*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Ladson-Billings, 2006.
- Ferguson, R. F. (1998). Teachers' perceptions and expectations and the Black-White test score gap. In C. Jencks & M. Phillips (Eds.), *The Black-White test score gap* (pp. 273-317). Washington, DC: The Brookings Institution; Pollock, M. (2008). From shallow to deep: Toward a thorough cultural analysis of school achievement patterns. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 39, 369-380; Tyson, K. (2011). *Integration interrupted: Tracking, Black students, and acting White after Brown*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Plous, S., & Williams, T. (1995). Racial stereotypes from the days of American slavery: A continuing legacy. *Journal of Applied Social Psychology*, 25(9), 795-817.

23. Nosek, B. A., Greenwald, A. G., & Banaji, M. R. (2005). Understanding and using the Implicit Association Test: II. Method variables and construct validity. *Personality and Social Psychology Review*, 31(2), 166-180. doi: 10.1177/0146167204271418
24. Greenwald, A. G., McGhee, D. E., & Schwartz, J. L. K. (1998). Measuring individual differences in implicit cognition: The Implicit Association Test. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 74, 1464-1380.
25. Goff, P. A., Eberhardt, J. L., Williams, M. J., & Jackson, M. C. (2008). Not yet human: Implicit knowledge, historical dehumanization, and contemporary consequences. *Journal of Personality and Social Psychology*, 94(2), 292.
26. *Brown v. Board of Education*, 347 U.S. 483. (1954). Available at <http://caselaw.lp.findlaw.com/scripts/getcase.pl?court=US&vol=347&invol=483>
27. Kluger, R. (1976). *Simple justice: The history of Brown v. Board of Education and Black America's struggle for equality*. New York: Knopf.
28. Allport, G. (1954). *The nature of prejudice*. Cambridge, MA: Addison-Wesley.
29. Orfield, G. (2013). Housing segregation produces unequal schools: Causes and solutions. In Carter & Welner, 2013.
30. Defined as segregation that results from intentional state action.
31. In *Keyes v. School District No. 1* 413 U.S. 189 (1973), the Court backed away from the implication that the racial separation of Blacks was the basis of the constitutional violation of segregated schools, ruling that if racially separate schools were not the result of racially motivated decision-making, such an adverse result for the Black schoolchildren did not meet the definition of unconstitutional segregation. In its 2007 decision in *Parents Involved in Community Schools v. Seattle School District No. 1* (PICS) 551 U.S. 701, 782 (Kennedy, J Concurring in Part, Concurring in Judgment), the Supreme Court rejected the ability of public schools in Seattle and Louisville to use individual racial classifications in order to pursue integrated schools
32. Orfield, G., & Lee, C. (2007). *Historic reversals, accelerating resegregation, and the need for new integration strategies*. Los Angeles: The Civil Rights Project/Proyecto Derechos Civiles at UCLA.
33. Children's Defense Fund (CDF). (2012). *The State of America's Children handbook*. Washington, DC: Author.
34. Theriot, M. (2009). School resource officers and the criminalization of student behavior. *Journal of Criminal Justice*, 37(7).
35. Rothstein, R. (2014). *The making of Ferguson: Public policies at the root of its troubles*. Economic Policy Institute: Washington, DC. Retrieved December 3, 2014, from <http://s3.epi.org/files/2014/making-of-ferguson-final.pdf>
36. Rothstein, 2014; see also Katznelson, 2006; Oliver, M., & Shapiro, T. (1995). *Black wealth/White wealth: A new perspective on racial inequality*. New York: Routledge.
37. Kozol, J. (1991). *Savage inequalities: Children in America's schools*. New York: Crown Publishers, Inc.
38. Carter, 2012, p. 12.
39. Powell, J. A. (2005). A new theory of integrated education: True integration. In J. C. Boger & G. Orfield (Eds.), *School resegregation: Must the south turn back?* (pp. 281-304). Chapel Hill, NC: University of North Carolina Press.
40. Villegas, A. M., Strom, K., & Lucas, T. (2012). Closing the racial/ethnic gap between students of color and their teachers: An elusive goal. *Equity & Excellence in Education*, 45(2), 283-301.
41. Milner, H. R., Flowers, L. A., Moore, E., Moore, J. L., & Flowers, T. A. (2003). Pre-service teachers' awareness of multiculturalism and diversity. *The High School Journal*, 87(1), 63-70.
42. Terrill, M., & Mark, D. (2000). Pre-service teachers' expectations for schools with children of color and second-language learners. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 51(2), 149-55.
43. Alexander, K. L., Entwisle, D. R., & Thompson, M. (1987). School performance, status relations, and the structure of sentiment: Bringing the teacher back in. *American Sociological Review*, 52, 665-82.
44. Implicit bias around the topic of race was first explored by Banaji and her colleagues (Greenwald, A. G., & Banaji, M. R. [1995]. Implicit social cognition: Attitudes, self-esteem, and stereotypes. *Psychological Review*, 102, 4-27) through the development of the Implicit Association Test (IAT), created in 1994 by researcher Anthony Greenwald (Greenwald, McGhee, & Schwartz, 1998). Numerous studies about race using the IAT find that both White and Black participants pair white faces or white sounding names with positive words. Both types of participant also pair black faces or stereotypically black names with negative words much faster than when pairing white faces/names with negative results or than when pairing black faces/names with positive words (Nosek, Greenwald, & Banaji 2005).
45. Cunningham, W. A., Nezlek, J. B., & Banaji, M. R. (2004). Implicit and explicit ethnocentrism: Revisiting the ideologies of prejudice. *Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin*, 30, 1332-1346.
46. Casteel, C. (1998). Teacher-student interactions and race in integrated classrooms. *Journal of Educational Research*, 92(2), 115-120; Simpson, A. W., & Erikson, M. T. (1983). Teachers' verbal and non-verbal communication patterns as a function of teacher race, student gender and student race. *American Education Research Journal*, 20, 183-198.
47. Nicholson-Crotty, S., Birchmeier, Z., & Valentine, D. (2009). Exploring the impact of school discipline on racial disproportion in the juvenile justice system. *Social Science Quarterly*, 90(4), 1003-1018; Skiba, R. J., Horner, R. H., Chung, C. G., Rausch, M. K., May, S. L., & Tobin, T. (2011). Race is not neutral: A national investigation of African American and Latino disproportionality in school discipline. *School Psychology Review*, 40(1), 85.
48. Welch, K., & Payne, A. A. (2010). Racial threat and punitive school discipline. *Social Problems*, 57(1), 25-48.
49. Fridell, L., & Laszlo, A. T. (2009). Reducing biased policing through training. *Community Policing Dispatch*, 2(2). Retrieved August 18, 2011, from http://www.cops.usdoj.gov/html/dispatch/February_2009/biased_policing.htm
50. Devine, P. G., Forscher, P. S., Austin, A. J., & Cox, W. T. L. (2012). Long-term reduction in implicit race bias: A prejudice habit-breaking intervention. *Journal of Experimental Social Psychology*, 48(6), 1267-1278.
51. Sue, D. W. (2010). *Microaggressions in everyday life: Race, gender, and sexual orientation*. Hoboken, NJ: John Wiley & Sons, Inc.
52. Solorzano, D., Ceja, M., & Yosso, T. (2000). Critical race theory, racial microaggressions, and campus racial climate: The experiences of African American college students. *The Journal of Negro Education*, 69(1/2), Knocking at Freedom's Door: Race, Equity, and Affirmative Action in U.S. Higher Education, (Winter-Spring, 2000), 60-73. Available at <http://www.jstor.org/discover/10.2307/2696265?uid=3739808&uid=2&uid=4&uid=3739256&sid=21104139900211>
53. See e.g., Balsam, K. F., Molina, Y., Beadnell, B., Simoni, J., & Walters, K. (2011). Measuring multiple minority stress: The LGBT People of Color Microaggressions Scale. *Cultural Diversity and Ethnic Minority Psychology*, 17(2), 163; Sue, D. W., Capodilupo, C. M., Torino, G. C., Bucceri, J. M., Holder, A. M. B., Nadal, K. L., et al. (2007). Racial microaggressions in everyday life: Implications for clinical practice. *The American Psychologist*, 62, 271-286; Pollock, M. (2008); Torres, L., Driscoll, M., & Burrow, A. (2010). Microaggressions and psychological functioning among high achieving African-Americans: A mixed-methods approach. *Journal of Social and Clinical Psychology*, 29, 1075-1100.
54. Bryan, M. L., Wilson, B. S., Lewis, A. A., & Wills, L. E. (2012). Exploring the impact of "race talk" in the education classroom: Doctoral student reflections. *Journal of Diversity in Higher Education*, 5, 123-137; Castagno, A. (2008). "I don't want to hear that!": Legitimizing whiteness through silence in schools. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 39(3), 314-333. doi: 10.1111/j.1548-1492.2008.00024.x; Henze, R., Lucas, T., & Scott, B. (1998). Dancing with the monster: Teachers discuss racism, power, and white privilege in education. *The Urban Review*, 30(3), 187-210; King, J. E. (1991). Dysconscious racism: Ideology, identity, and the miseducation of teachers. *Journal of Negro Education*, 60(2), 133-146; Nieto, S., & McDonough, K. (2011).

- Placing equity front and center revisited. In A. F. Ball & C. A. Tyson (Eds.), *Studying diversity in teacher education* (pp. 363-384). Lanham, MD: Rowan & Littlefield.; Pollock, M. (2004). Race bending: "Mixed" youth practicing strategic racialization in California. *Anthropology & Education Quarterly*, 35, 30-52. doi: 10.1255/aeq.2004.35.1.30; Pollock, 2009; Singleton, G. E., & Linton, C. W. (Eds.). (2005). *Courageous conversations about race: A field guide for achieving equity in schools*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press; Skiba, R. J., Simmons, A., Ritter, S., Kohler, K., Henderson, M., & Wu, T. (2006). The context of minority disproportionality: Practitioner perspectives on special education referral. *Teachers College Record*, 108(7), 1424-1459; Sleeter, C. E. (2001). Preparing teachers for culturally diverse schools: Research and the overwhelming presence of whiteness. *Journal of Teacher Education* 52(2): 94-106; Solomon, P., Portelli, J., Daniel, B.-J., & Campbell, A. (2005). The discourse of denial: How white teacher candidates construct race, racism, and "white privilege." *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 8(20), 147-169.
55. Pollock, 2008, in press.
56. Bonilla-Silva, E. (2006). *Racism without racists: Color-blind racism and the persistence of racial inequality in the United States*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield, Publishers.
57. Bonilla-Silva, E., & Dietrich, D. (2011). The sweet enchantment of color-blind racism in Obamerica. *The ANNALS of the American Academy of Political and Social Science*, 634(1), 190-206; King, J. E. (1991). Dysconscious racism: Ideology, identity, and the miseducation of teachers. *Journal of Negro Education*, 60(2), 133-146.
58. Gotanda, N. (1991). A critique of 'Our constitution is color-blind.' *Stanford Law Review*, 44(1), 1-68.
59. For example, the following rulings: 1978 ruling in Regents of the University of California v. Bakke; Adarand Constructors v. Peña in 1995, Texas v. Hopwood in 1996, and the most recent April 22, 2014, Supreme Court decision that upheld the Michigan ban on race-based affirmative action in its state university system (Liptak, A. [2014, April 22]. Court backs Michigan on Affirmative Action. *The New York Times*. Retrieved from: <http://www.nytimes.com/2014/04/23/us/supreme-court-michigan-affirmative-action-ban.html>).
60. Nakano-Glenn, E. (Ed.), *Shades of difference*. Stanford, CA: Stanford University Press; Gullen, J. (2012). Colorblind education reform: How race-neutral policies perpetuate segregation and why voluntary integration should be put back on the reform agenda, *University of Pennsylvania Journal of Law and Social Change*, 15, 251.
61. Bohanon, H., Fenning, P., Carney, K. L., Minnis-Kim, M. J., Anderson-Harriss, S., Moroz, K. B., et al. (2006). Schoolwide application of Positive Behavior Support in an urban high school: A case study. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 8, 131-145.
62. Horner, H. R., Sugai, G., Smolkowski, K., Eber, L., Nakasato, J., Todd, A. W., et al. (2009). A randomized, wait-listed controlled effectiveness trial assessing School-wide Positive Behavior Support in elementary school. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 11(3), 133-144.
63. Bradshaw, C. P., Koth, C. W., Thornton, L. A., & Leaf, P. J. (2009). Altering school climate through School-wide Positive Behavior Interventions and Supports: Findings from a group randomized effectiveness trial. *Prevention Science*, 10, 100-115.
64. Bradshaw, C. P., Mitchell, M. M., & Leaf, P. J. (2010). Examining the effects of School-wide Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports on student outcomes: Results from a randomized controlled effectiveness trial in elementary schools. *Journal of Positive Behavior Interventions*, 12, 133-148.
65. Vincent, C. G., Sprague, J. R., & Gau, J. (January, 2013). *The effectiveness of School-wide Positive Behavior Support for reducing racially inequitable disciplinary exclusions in middle schools*. Paper presented at the Conference on Closing the Discipline Gap, Washington, DC; Vincent, C. G., & Tobin, T. J. (2011). An examination of the relationship between implementation of School-wide Positive Behavior Support (SWPBS) and exclusion of students from various ethnic backgrounds with and without disabilities. *Journal of Emotional and Behavioral Disorders*, 19, 217-232.
66. Carter, 2012; Morris, E. W. (2005). "Tuck in that shirt!" Race, class, gender, and discipline in an urban school. *Sociological Perspectives*, 48(1), 25-48; Singleton, & Linton, 2005; Tatum, B. D. (2007). *Can we talk about race? And other conversations in an era of school resegregation*. Boston: Beacon Press.
67. Tatum, B. D. (1997). "Why are all the Black kids sitting together in the cafeteria?" and other conversations about race. New York: Basic Books.
68. Trepagnier, B. (2006). *Silent racism: How well-meaning people perpetuate the racial divide*. Boulder, CO: Paradigm Publishers.
69. Buehler, J. (2012). 'There's a problem, and we've got to face it': How staff members wrestled with race in an urban high school. *Race Ethnicity and Education*, 1-24.
70. See e.g., Losen, D., & Skiba, R. (2010). *Suspended education: Urban middle schools in crisis*. Montgomery, AL: Southern Poverty Law Center.
71. Pollock, 2004.
72. Pollock, in press.
73. Carter, 2012; Cochran-Smith, M. (2004). *Walking the road: Race, diversity, and social justice in teacher education*. New York: Teachers College Press; Fine, M. (1991). *Framing dropouts: Notes on the politics of an urban public high school*. Albany, NY: State University of New York Press; Ladson-Billings, G. (2000). Fighting for our lives: Preparing teachers to teach African American students. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 51(3), 206-214. doi: 10.1177/0022487100051003008; Nieto, S. (2010). *The light in their eyes: Creating multicultural learning communities*. 10th Anniversary Ed. New York: Teachers College Press; Singleton, G. E. (2012). *More courageous conversations about race*. Thousand Oaks, CA: SAGE; Sleeter, 2001; Sleeter, C. E. (2009). Developing teacher epistemological sophistication about multicultural curriculum: A case study. *Action in Teacher Education*, 31(1), 3-13; Tatum, 2007.
74. Gregory, A., Bell, J., & Pollock, M. (2014). *How educators can eradicate disparities in school discipline: A briefing paper on school-based interventions*. Bloomington, IN: The Equity Project at Indiana University. Available at http://www.indiana.edu/~atlantic/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/Disparity_Intervention_Full_040414.pdf
75. Noguera, P. A. (2008). Benefits of learning. In M. Pollock (Ed.), *Everyday antiracism: Getting real about race in school* (pp. 132-138). New York: The New Press.
76. Losen, D., Hewitt, D., & Toldson, I. (2014). *Eliminating excessive and unfair exclusionary discipline in schools: Policy recommendations for reducing disparities*. Bloomington, IN: The Equity Project at Indiana University. Available at http://www.indiana.edu/~atlantic/wp-content/uploads/2014/04/Disparity_Policy_031214.pdf <http://rticolaborative.indiana.edu/briefing-papers/>
77. See also Losen, Hewett, & Toldson, 2014.
78. Noguera 2008
79. Howard, T. C. (2010). *Why race and culture matter in schools: Closing the achievement gap in America's classrooms*. New York: Teachers College Press.
80. Carter, 2012, p. 179.
81. Au, K. H. (2011). *Literacy achievement and diversity: Keys to success for students, teachers, and schools*. New York: Teachers College Press; Banks, J. A. (1995). Multicultural education and curriculum transformation. *Journal of Negro Education*, 64(4), 390-400; Cochran-Smith, 2004; Ladson-Billings, G. (1995). But that's just good teaching! The case for culturally relevant pedagogy. *Theory into Practice*, 34, 159-165; Ladson-Billings, 2006; Nieto, S. (2000). *Affirming diversity: The sociopolitical context of multicultural education* (3rd Ed. [Rev.]). New York: Longman; Pollock, 2004, 2008, in press; Singleton, 2012; Sleeter, C. (2011). An agenda to strengthen culturally responsive pedagogy. *English Teaching: Practice and Critique*, 10(2), 7-13; Villegas, A. M., & Lucas, T. (2002). Preparing culturally responsive teachers: Rethinking the curriculum. *Journal of Teacher Education*, 53(1), 20; Wallace, T., & Brand, B. R. (2012). Using critical race theory to analyze science teachers' culturally responsive practices. *Cultural Studies of Science Education*, 7(2), 341-374; Ware, F. (2006). Warm demander pedagogy: Culturally responsive teaching that supports a culture of achievement for African American students. *Urban Education*, 41(4), 427-456; Watt, S. K. (2007). Difficult dialogues, privilege and social justice: Uses of the privileged

- identity exploration (PIe) model in student affairs practice. *College Student Affairs Journal*, 26, 114-125; Pollock 2004, p. 209.
82. Pollock, in press.
 83. Howard, T. C. (2012). *Black male (d): Peril and promise in the education of African American males*. New York: Teachers College Press.
 84. Luttrell, W. (2008). Responding to the “N-word.” In M. Pollock (Ed.) (2008), *Everyday antiracism: Getting real about race in school* (pp.274-278). New York: The New Press.
 85. Wadhwa, A. (in press). *Restorative justice in urban schools: Disrupting the School-to-Prison Pipeline*. New York: Routledge.
 86. Tatum, 2007.
 87. Singleton, 2012.
 88. Pollock, 2004.
 89. Border Crossers. (2011). *Talking about race with K-5: Honoring teachable race moments in your classroom*. Retrieved from http://issuu.com/bordercrossers/docs/talking_about_race_with_k-5/1?e=0
 90. Ladson-Billings, 2006; Nieto, 2010.
 91. Weinstein, C. S., Tomlinson-Clarke, S., & Curran, M. (2004). Toward a conception of culturally responsive classroom management. *Journal of teacher education*, 55(1), 25-38.
 92. Gregory et al., 2014.
 93. Brown, J. L., Jones, S. M., LaRusso, M. D., & Aber, J. L. (2010). Improving classroom quality: Teacher influences and experimental impacts of the 4Rs program. *Journal of Educational Psychology*, 102(1), 153.
 94. Gregory et al., 2014
 95. Gregory et al., 2014
 96. Mehan, H. (2012). *In the front door: Creating a college-bound culture of learning*. Herndon, VA: Paradigm Publishers.
 97. Au, 2011; Banks, 1995; Gay, G. (2010). Culturally responsive teaching: Theory, research, and practice (2nd ed.). New York: Teachers College Press; Ladson-Billings, 1994, 2006; Nieto, 2000; Steele, D., & Cohn-Vargas, B. (2013). *Identity safe classrooms: Places to belong and learn*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Corwin Press.
 98. Gonzalez, T. (2014). Socializing schools: Addressing racial disparities in discipline through restorative justice. In D. J. Losen (Ed.), *Closing the school discipline gap: Research for policymakers*. New York: Teachers College Press.
 99. Freeman, J. (2014). *The Colorado school discipline report card - The state of 179 districts: Toward ending the School-to-Prison pipeline in Colorado*. Denver: Padres y Jovenes Unidos. Retrieved from: http://padresunidos.org/sites/default/files/CO_SCHOOL_DISCIPLINE_REPORT_CARD_FINAL_SPREADS.pdf
 100. Kauffman, J. M., Conroy, M., Gardner, R., & Oswald, D. (2008). Cultural sensitivity in the application of behavioral principles in education. *Education and Treatment of Children*, 31, 239-262.
 101. Howard, 2010.

About the Authors

Prudence L. Carter, Ph.D.

is a Professor of Education and (by courtesy) of Sociology at Stanford, and Faculty Director of the John W. Gardner Center for Youth and Their Communities. Her expertise ranges from issues of youth identity and race, class, and gender, urban poverty, social and cultural inequality, the sociology of education and mixed research methods. She is the author of the award-winning book, *Keepin' It Real: School Success beyond Black and White* (2005); *Stubborn Roots: Race, Culture, and Inequality in U.S. & South African Schools* (2012); and more recently co-editor of *Closing the Opportunity Gap: What America Must Do to Give Every Child an Even Chance* (all published by Oxford University Press), along with numerous other journal articles, book chapters, and essays.

Russell J. Skiba, Ph.D.

is a Professor in the School Psychology program at Indiana University and Director of the Equity Project, a consortium of research projects offering evidence-based information to educators and policymakers on equity in special education and school discipline. He has worked with schools across the country in the areas of disproportionality, school discipline, and school violence; has been project director or principal coordinator on numerous federal and state grants; and has published extensively in the areas of school violence, zero tolerance, and equity in education. He was a member of the writing team that produced the U.S. Department of Education's document on school safety, *Early Warning, Timely Response*, and a member

and lead author of the American Psychological Association's Task Force on Zero Tolerance. He was awarded the Push for Excellence Award by the Rainbow Coalition/Operation PUSH for his work on African American disproportionality in school suspension. Skiba has testified before the United States Civil Rights Commission, spoken before both Houses of Congress on issues of school discipline and school violence, and has acted as a special consultant to OSEP on issues of disproportionality and equity in special education.

Mariella I. Arredondo, Ph.D.

is Associate Director of the Equity Project. Dr. Arredondo's leadership role on the Project focuses on making sure that the goals of each project's research, practice, service, and dissemination goals and agendas are achieved and that outcomes and deliverables are ensured. Previously, Dr. Arredondo served as Research Associate in the Discipline Disparities Research-to-Practice Collaborative project funded by The Atlantic Philanthropies and Open Society Foundations at The Equity Project. Mariella earned her Ph.D. degree in Educational Leadership Policy Studies with a concentration in International Comparative Education from Indiana University-Bloomington. Before joining The Equity Project Mariella focused her research on educational policies targeted at reducing stratification and inequality and working towards the attainment of a more egalitarian and socially just education, both in the United States and globally. She is currently pursuing a research agenda concentrating on the intersectionality of race, ethnicity, gender, and sexual orientation in disparities in school discipline.

Mica Pollock, Ph.D.

is an anthropologist of education and Professor of Education Studies at the University of California, San Diego. She currently leads UC San Diego's Center for Research on Equity, Assessment, and Teaching Excellence (CREATE) as Director. Pollock's own work explores how diverse communities can come together in student support efforts. To date, Pollock has studied communications that support student success in diverse schools and education communities. In multiple projects based in schools, districts, cities, community organizations, and the government, Pollock has asked how people might communicate so they can work together to support every young person's talent development. Pollock's first book, *Colormute: Race Talk Dilemmas in an American School* (winner of the 2005 AERA Outstanding Book Award), helped readers navigate six core U.S. struggles over talking (and not talking) in racial terms in schools. *Because of Race: How Americans Debate Harm and Opportunity in Our Schools* (2008) examined the U.S. Department of Education's Office for Civil Rights as the background for common debates over improving the everyday school experiences of students and families of color. In *Everyday Antiracism: Getting Real about Race in School* (2008), Pollock organized 70 scholars to write short essays supporting teachers to consider everyday issues of race, opportunity and diversity in their work. Winner of a 2008 Outstanding Book Award from the Gustavus Myers Center, "EAR" is being used to spark educator inquiry in schools and districts across the country.

Members of the Discipline Disparities Collaborative

James Bell, J.D.

Founder and Executive Director
W. Hayward Burns Institute

Judith Browne-Dianis, J.D.

Co-Director
Advancement Project

Prudence L. Carter, Ph.D.

Professor
Stanford University, School of Education
and (by courtesy) Sociology

Christopher Chatmon

Executive Director of African American
Male Achievement
Oakland Unified School District

Tanya Coke, J.D.

Distinguished Lecturer
John Jay College of Criminal Justice

Matt Cregor, J.D.

Staff Attorney
Lawyers Committee for Civil Rights
and Economic Justice

Manuel Criollo

Director of Organizing
The Labor/Community Strategy Center

Edward Fergus, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor
New York University
Steinhardt School of Culture, Education,
and Human Development

Michelle Fine, Ph.D.

Distinguished Professor of Psychology
City University of New York (CUNY)
The Graduate Center

Phillip Atiba Goff, Ph.D.

Assistant Professor
University of California Los Angeles (UCLA)
Department of Psychology

Paul Goren, Ph.D.

Senior Vice President
Collaborative for Academic, Social,
and Emotional Learning

Anne Gregory, Ph.D.

Associate Professor
Rutgers University, Graduate School of
Applied and Professional Psychology

Damon T. Hewitt, J.D.

Senior Advisor, U.S. Programs
Open Society Foundations

Daniel J. Losen, J.D.

Director, Center for Civil Rights Remedies
The Civil Rights Project at UCLA

Tammy B. Luu

Associate Director
The Labor/Community Strategy Center

Kavitha Mediratta, Ph.D.

Head, Racial Equity Programmes
Atlantic Philanthropies

Pedro Noguera, Ph.D.

Executive Director
The Metropolitan Center for
Urban Education

Mica Pollock, Ph.D.

Director
University of California San Diego (UCSD)
Center for Research on Equity,
Assessment, and Teaching Excellence

Stephen T. Russell, Ph.D.

Distinguished Professor
University of Arizona
Norton School of Family and
Consumer Services

Russell Skiba, Ph.D.

Director
The Equity Project at Indiana University

Leticia Smith-Evans, J.D., Ph.D.

Interim Director, Education Practice
NAACP Legal Defense and Educational Fund

Lisa Thomas, Ed.D.

Associate Director
American Federation of Teachers

Michael Thompson

Director
The Justice Center

Ivory A. Toldson, Ph.D.

Deputy Director
The White House Initiative on Historically
Black Colleges and Universities

**The Equity Project at Indiana University
Center for Evaluation and Education Policy**

1900 East Tenth Street

Bloomington, Indiana 47406

812-855-4438

equity@indiana.edu

rtpcollaborative.indiana.edu